We use cookies to distinguish you from other users and to provide you with a better experience on our websites. Close this message to accept cookies or find out how to manage your cookie settings.





Home > Journals > Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology > A Network Model of Hand Hygiene...

# Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology



**Article** 

**First View** 

A Network Model of Hand Hygiene: How Good Is Good Enough to Stop the Spread of MRSA?

Neal D. Goldstein <sup>(a1)</sup> <sup>(a2)</sup>, Stephen C. Eppes <sup>(a1)</sup>, Amy Mackley <sup>(a1)</sup>, Deborah Tuttle <sup>(a1)</sup> ...

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2017.116

Published online: 28 June 2017

#### **Abstract**

Simulation models have been used to investigate the impact of hand hygiene on methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) transmission within the healthcare setting, but they have been limited by their ability to accurately model complex patient–provider interactions.

Using a network-based modeling approach, we created a simulated neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) representing the potential for per-hour infant–infant MRSA transmission via the healthcare worker resulting in subsequent colonization. The starting prevalence of MRSA colonized infants varied from 2% to 8%. Hand hygiene ranged from 0% (none) to 100% (theoretical maximum), with an expected effectiveness of 88% inferred from literature.

Based on empiric care provided within a 1-hour period, the mean number of infant—infant MRSA transmissible opportunities per hour was 1.3. Compared to no hand hygiene and averaged across all initial colonization states, colonization was reduced by approximately 29%, 51%, 67%, 80%, and 86% for the respective levels of hygiene: 24%, 48%, 68%, 88%, and 100%. Preterm infants had a 61% increase in MRSA colonization, and mechanically ventilated infants had a 27% increase.

Even under optimal hygiene conditions, horizontal transmission of MRSA is possible. Additional prevention paradigms should focus on the most acute patients because they are at greatest risk.

Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2017;1-8

### Export citation

## Copyright

© 2017 by The Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America. All rights reserved

# Corresponding author

Address correspondence to Neal D. Goldstein, Department of Pediatrics, Christiana Care Health System, 4745 Ogletown-Stanton Road, MAP 1, Suite 116, Newark, DE 19713 (ngoldstein@christianacare.org).

#### **Footnotes**

Linked references

Hide All

This list contains references from the content that can be linked to their source. For a full set of references and notes please see the PDF or HTML where available.

2. C Wendt, D Knautz, H von Baum. Differences in hand hygiene behavior related to the contamination risk of healthcare activities in different groups of healthcare workers. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2004;25:203–206.

CrossRef Google Scholar PubMed

3. D Pittet, B Allegranzi, H Sax, et al. Evidence-based model for hand transmission during patient care and the role of improved practices. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2006;6:641–652.

CrossRef Google Scholar PubMed

7. V Sébille, S Chevret, AJ Valleron. Modeling the spread of resistant nosocomial pathogens in an intensive-care unit. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 1997;18:84–92.

CrossRef Google Scholar PubMed

10. IM Hall , I Barrass , S Leach , D Pittet , S Hugonnet . Transmission dynamics of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in a medical intensive care unit. *J R Soc Interface* 2012;9:2639–2652.

CrossRef Google Scholar PubMed

14. HJ Ho, BF Poh, S Choudhury, P Krishnan, B Ang, A Chow. Alcohol handrubbing and chlorhexidine handwashing are equally effective in removing methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus from health care workers' hands: a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Infect Control* 2015;43:1246–1248.

CrossRef Google Scholar PubMed

Librarians	
Authors	
Publishing partners	
Agents	
Corporates	
Additional Information	
Legal Information	
© Cambridge University Press 2017	Back to top