


Intermittent Tiotropium Bromide for Episodic Wheezing: A Randomized Trial



Anne Kotaniemi-Syrjänen, MD ; Timo Klemola, MD; Petri Koponen, MD; Outi Jauhola, MD; Henrikka Aito, MD; Kristiina Malmström, MD; L. Pekka Malmberg, MD; Eero Rahiala, MD; Seppo Sarna, PhD; Anna S. Pelkonen, MD; Mika J. Mäkelä, MD

Address correspondence to Anne Kotaniemi-Syrjänen, MD, HUS Skin and Allergy Hospital, P.O. Box 160, FI-00029 HUS, Finland. Email: anne.kotaniemi-syrjanen@fimnet.fi

FUNDING: This work was supported by research grants from the following nonprofit organizations: The Maud Kuistila Memorial Foundation, Jalmari and Rauha Ahokas Foundation, Allergy Research Foundation, The Emil Aaltonen Foundation, The Finnish Anti-Tuberculosis Association Foundation, Väinö and Laina Kivi Foundation, the Nummela Sanatorium Foundation, Helsinki University Central Hospital Research Funds, Sigrid Jusélius Foundation, and the Foundation for Pediatric Research.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURES: The authors have indicated they have no conflicts of interest to disclose. This trial is registered at EudraCT (identifier, 2015-002985-22) and ClinicalTrials.gov (identifier, NCT03199976).

Pediatrics e2021055860.

<https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2021-055860> **Article history** 

BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES:

Options to treat and prevent episodic wheezing in children are scarce. Our objective was to assess the efficacy of intermittent tiotropium bromide treatment in early childhood episodic wheezing.

METHODS:

This 48-week, randomized, open-label, controlled, parallel-group trial was conducted at 4 hospitals in Finland. Children aged 6 to 35 months with 2 to 4 physician-confirmed episodes of wheeze and/or shortness of breath were considered eligible. Study participants were randomly allocated to receive 1 of 3 treatments: once-daily tiotropium bromide 5 µg for 7 to 14 days during respiratory tract infections and as-needed albuterol sulfate 0.2 mg ($n = 27$), twice-daily fluticasone propionate 125 µg for 7 to 14 days during respiratory tract infections and as-needed albuterol

sulfate 0.2 mg ($n = 25$), or as-needed albuterol sulfate 0.2 mg alone ($n = 28$). The primary outcome was efficacy, assessed as intention-to-treat by comparing the proportion of episode-free days (the days lacking symptoms or treatments) between the treatment groups.

RESULTS:

The proportion of episode-free days was higher in those receiving intermittent tiotropium bromide (median 97% [interquartile range, 93% to 99%]) than in those receiving intermittent fluticasone propionate (87% [78% to 93%], $P = .002$), or with as-needed albuterol sulfate alone (88% [79% to 95%], $P = .003$). Adjustment with allergic sensitization, the baseline number of physician-confirmed episodes of wheeze and/or shortness of breath, or short-course glucocorticoid treatment in the 2 weeks before the enrollment, did not affect the result. Intervention-related adverse events were not seen.

CONCLUSIONS:

Intermittent tiotropium bromide treatment may be an effective alternative to current therapies for episodic wheezing. Before implementation of use, further research on safety and efficacy is indicated.

Subjects: Respiratory Tract

Topics: tiotropium bromide, wheezing, albuterol, sulfate, fluticasone, tiotropium

Copyright © 2022 by the American Academy of Pediatrics

You do not currently have access to this content.

Comments

0 Comments

 [Comments \(0\)](#)

Sign in

Don't already have an account? [Register](#)

Create Account

Individual Login

Institutional Login

Sign in via OpenAthens

Pay-Per-View Access \$25.00

 Buy This Article

[View Your Tokens](#)