

ADVERTISEMENT



IT'S NOT TOO LATE TO REGISTER

February 26-27, 2016 • JW Marriott Phoenix Desert Ridge • Phoenix, Arizona



Journal of the American College of Surgeons

RSS Feeds 

Mobile 

Login | Register | Subscribe

Home Articles and Issues ▾ For Authors ▾ Journal Info ▾ Subscribe ACS ▾ More Periodicals ▾

All Content

Search [Advanced Search](#)

< Previous Article

[Articles in Press](#)

Next Article >

Access this article on
[ScienceDirect](#)

Article in Press

Early Cholecystectomy for Acute Cholecystitis Offers the Best Outcomes at the Least Cost: A Model-Based Cost-Utility Analysis

Presented at the 2013 Canadian Surgical Forum, Ottawa, Canada, September 2013.

[Charles de Mestral](#), MD, PhD  , [Jeffrey S. Hoch](#), MA, PhD, [Andreas Laupacis](#), MD, MSc, [Harindra C. Wijeyesundera](#), MD, PhD, [Ori D. Rotstein](#), MD, MSc, FACS, [Aziz S. Alali](#), MD, PhD, [Avery B. Nathens](#), MD, PhD, MPH, FACS

Altmetric  0

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2015.10.015>



Article Info

Publication History

Published Online: November 24, 2015
Accepted: October 22, 2015
Received in revised form: October 22, 2015
Received: August 14, 2015

Purchase this article (PDF Included)

\$31.50 USD (24 hour access)

Subscribe to this title

Article Tools

-  [PDF \(957 KB\)](#)
-  [Download Images\(.ppt\)](#)
[About Images & Usage](#)
-  [Email Article](#)
-  [Add to My Reading List](#)
-  [Export Citation](#)
-  [Create Citation Alert](#)
-  [Cited by in Scopus \(0\)](#)

Related Articles

Surgical Value of Elective Minimally Invasive Gallbladder Removal: A Cost Analysis of Traditional 4-Port vs Single-Incision and Robotically-Assisted Cholecystectomy

Publication stage: In Press Accepted Manuscript

Journal of the American College of Surgeons

[Abstract](#) [Full Text](#) [Images](#) [References](#) [Supplemental Materials](#)

Background

The application of early cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis remains inconsistent across hospitals worldwide. Given the constrained nature of health care spending, careful consideration of costs relative to the clinical consequences of alternative treatments should support decision making. We present a cost-utility analysis comparing alternative time frames of cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis.

Study Design

A Markov model with a 5-year time horizon was developed to compare costs and quality-adjusted life-years (QALY) gained from 3 alternative management strategies for the treatment of acute cholecystitis: early cholecystectomy (within 7 days of presentation), delayed elective cholecystectomy (8 to 12 weeks from presentation), and watchful waiting, where cholecystectomy is performed urgently only if recurrent symptoms arise. Model inputs were selected to reflect patients with uncomplicated acute cholecystitis—without concurrent common bile duct obstruction, pancreatitis, or severe sepsis. Real-world outcome probability and cost estimates included in the model were derived from analysis of population-based administrative databases for the province of Ontario, Canada. The QALY values were derived from utilities identified in published literature. Parameter uncertainty was evaluated through probabilistic sensitivity analyses.

Results

Early cholecystectomy was less costly (C\$6,905 per person) and more effective (4.20 QALYs per person) than delayed cholecystectomy (C\$8,511; 4.18 QALYs per person) or watchful waiting (C\$7,274; 3.99 QALYs per person). Probabilistic sensitivity analysis showed early cholecystectomy was the preferred management in 72% of model iterations, given a cost-effectiveness threshold of C\$50,000 per QALY.

Conclusions

This cost-utility analysis suggests early cholecystectomy is the optimal management of uncomplicated acute cholecystitis. Furthermore, deferring surgery until recurrent symptoms arise is associated with the worst clinical outcomes.

Abbreviations and Acronyms:

[ED](#) (emergency department), [NMB](#) (net monetary benefit), [QALY](#) (quality-adjusted life-years), [RIW](#) (resource intensity weight), [WTP](#) (willingness to pay)

To access this article, please choose from the options below

Log In

Email/Username:

Password:

Remember me

[Forgot password?](#)

Register

[Create a new account](#)

Purchase access to this article

- [Online access for 24 hours](#)

Claim Access

If you are a current subscriber with Society Membership or an Account Number, [claim your access now](#).

Subscribe to this title

[Purchase a subscription](#) to gain access to this and all other articles in this journal.

Institutional Access

[Visit ScienceDirect](#) to see if you have access via your institution.

Subtotal
Cholecystectomy—“Fenestrating” vs “Reconstituting” Subtypes and the Prevention of Bile Duct Injury: Definition of the Optimal Procedure in Difficult Operative Conditions
 Journal of the American College of Surgeons, Vol. 222, Issue 1

Complications of Pediatric Cholecystectomy: Impact from Hospital Experience and Use of Cholangiography
 Journal of the American College of Surgeons, Vol. 218, Issue 1

Variation in the Use of Intraoperative Cholangiography During Cholecystectomy
 Journal of the American College of Surgeons, Vol. 214, Issue 4

A Teaching Program for the “Culture of Safety in Cholecystectomy” and Avoidance of Bile Duct Injury
 Journal of the American College of Surgeons, Vol. 217, Issue 4

[View All](#)

ADVERTISEMENT

Ready to
advance
your
career?

We have the
opportunity.

ELSEVIER

CME questions for this article available at <http://jacscme.facs.org>

Disclosure Information: Authors have nothing to disclose. Timothy J Eberlein, Editor-in-Chief, has nothing to disclose.

Support: The project was funded by operating grants from the Canadian Surgical Research Fund and Physician Services Inc. Foundation. In addition, this study was supported by the Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences (ICES), which is funded by an annual grant from the Ontario Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care (MOHLTC). The opinions, results, and conclusions reported in this paper are those of the authors and are independent from the funding sources. No endorsement by ICES or the Ontario MOHLTC is intended or should be inferred.

All cost data expressed in Canadian dollars can be converted to US dollars by multiplying by 0.989.

© 2015 American College of Surgeons. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

[< Previous Article](#)

[Articles in Press](#)

[Next Article >](#)

Copyright © 2015 [Elsevier](#) Inc. All rights reserved. | [Privacy Policy](#) | [Terms & Conditions](#) | [About Us](#) | [Help & Contact](#)

The content on this site is intended for health professionals.

Advertisements on this site do not constitute a guarantee or endorsement by the journal, Association, or publisher of the quality or value of such product or of the claims made for it by its manufacturer.